83

# THE COMPARATIVE STUDY OF URANIUM ISOTOPES BY ALPHA SPECTROMETRY AND SECONDARY ION MASS SPECTROMETRY. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Jozef Kuruc<sup>1</sup> – Dávid Harvan<sup>1</sup> – Dušan Galanda<sup>1</sup> – Ľubomír Mátel<sup>1</sup> – Monika Aranyosiová<sup>2</sup> – Dušan Velič<sup>2</sup>

### ABSTRACT

Kuruc J., Harvan D., Galanda D., Mátel Ľ., Aranyosiová M. & Velič D. The comparative study of uranium isotopes by alpha spectrometry and secondary ion mass spectrometry. Preliminary results

The paper presents the preliminary results of the first comparative measurements of uranium isotopes by two techniques – alpha spectrometry and secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS). Samples with specific activity of uranium isotopes were prepared by electrodeposition from aqueous solution of  $UO_2$ ( $NO_3$ )<sub>2</sub>.6H<sub>2</sub>O, not directly from natural environment. We obtained linear correlation between SIMS intensities of uranium ions and their alpha activities. These correlations give a possibility to obtain linear correlation between SIMS intensities of uranium ions and surface weights of uranium isotopes. Preliminary results indicate the possibility to use SIMS for quantitative analysis of surface contamination by uranium isotopes. The obtained results are presented in this article.

Key words: alpha spectrometry; secondary ion mass spectrometry; uranium isotopes; electrodeposition; correlations; regression analysis; radiochemical analysis; radiometric analysis

# **INTRODUCTION**

# Principle of SIMS technique

Secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) is a method founded for chemical composition analysis of different substances and materials [1]. It is not limited by origin or type of material. Basic principle of SIMS is resided in atomic bombardment of sample surface with high-energy primary ion beam (consisting from I<sup>+</sup>, Cs<sup>+</sup>, Au<sup>+</sup> i.e.). Primary ion beam penetrates by material, it transfers its energy to hit atoms and generates collision cascade. The result of collision cascade is emission of atoms, molecules and clusters from the surface. Emitted or sputtered particles convert into ions as secondary ions and secondary ions are analyzed in mass spectrometer. The SIMS, with system for separate particle weights by time of fly (TOF–SIMS), is simple and the most effective. It works in pulse mode. Emitted secondary ions are extracted to time-of-fly column with potential, which are dispensed by the same kinetic energy. If the mass of ion is lower, then this ion flies faster through the column to the detector. This is the basic principle of separation of ions with TOF system. Measure of the depth profile is another possibility of analysis of sample surface. Depth profile affords information about composition inside the sample. It creates a three-dimensional picture of the sample.

Advantages [2]:

- High sensitivity (ppm, ppb)
- 1 nm depth resolution
- · Collateral detection of all masses

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Nuclear Chemistry, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University, Mlynska dolina CH-1, 842 15 Bratislava, The Slovak Republic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Physical and Theoretical Chemistry, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University, Mlynska dolina CH-1, 842 15 Bratislava; International Laser Center, Ilkovičova 3, 812 19 Bratislava, The Slovak Republic



Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of atomic bombardment of sample surface by high-energy primary ion beam

- Weight range to 10000
- 100 nm laterally resolution in measure of twodimensional distribution.

## SIMS in nuclear research

In 1978 Shilling J. H. [3] was investigating to use this technique for analysis of trace elements and he showed that SIMS is not a universally appreciated analytical method. But this method found its utilization in most areas of research, especially in dating of surfaces, detection and migration of isotopes, isotopic analysis, contamination analysis of surfaces, and compositional changes in materials. SIMS found widely application in coupling with other analytical or nuclear methods such as XRF (X-Ray Fluorescence) [4], GC-MS (Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry) [5], TIMS (Thermal Ionization Mass Spectrometry) [4], ICP-MS (Ion Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry) [6], AMS (Accelerator Mass Spectrometry) [7], NAA (Neutron Activation Analysis) [8], PIXE (Particle Induced X-Ray Emission) [9]. These methods were used for determination (especially isotopes ratios) of <sup>238</sup>U [10], <sup>232</sup>Th [11], lead isotopes [9, 12] and other isotopes [13].

# **METHODS AND EXPERIMENT**

The most common procedures are co-precipitation and electrodeposition for preparation samples [14]. Radionuclides are deposited by electro-chemical procedures on metallic plate in deposition cell by the effect of electrochemical process in solution by electrical flow [15]. Metallic plate is a disc (sample for measure) and electrode, too. Frequently used discs are platinum, tantalum, nickel discs and stainless steel discs. Uniform distribution of particles on disc's surface is an advantage and it is the most



Fig. 2 Schematic diagram of electrodeposition cell [14]

important for SIMS measurement. Losses are low as uncertainty of weighting [14]. Brightening stainless steel discs were used in this experiment. Electrodepositing cell is presented in Fig. 1.

Preparation of discs with electrodeposited uranium was performed by methodology from Galanda D. [14] and Eichrom Industries, Ltd. [16]. After preparation, discs were measured by low-level alpha spectrometer. Recovery of electrodeposition was accepted as a value 86 % in accordance to literature [16]. Our calculations of weights of deposited uranium confirmed this value. Weights of deposited uranium isotopes were calculated from their alpha activities. After alpha spectrometry, discs were analyzed by the machine TOF-SIMS IV at International Laser Center in Bratislava.



Fig. 3 TOF-SIMS IV at International Laser Center in Bratislava [1]

# Characteristics of the used instrument:

### Alpha spectrometry

Instrument: Ortec Dual Alpha Spectrometer 576 A – 919 (Ortec<sup>®</sup>, U.S.A.); Efficiency: Discs

1–3,  $\varepsilon = 0.18$ , Discs 4, 5,  $\varepsilon = 0.203$ ; Background: <30 counts/day; 300 to 450 mm<sup>2</sup> active area, <40 counts/day, 600 mm<sup>2</sup> active area; Measure time: Discs 1–3, t = 60000 s, Discs 4, 5t = 3000 s; Software: AlphaVision 32.

# SIMS:

Instrument: TOF-SIMS IV (ION TOF GmbH, Germany); Ion gun: Au; Primary ions: Bi<sup>+</sup>; Ion energy: 25 keV; Samples current: 1 pA; Area: 150×150 mm<sup>2</sup>; Number of ions: 5×10<sup>12</sup> ions·cm<sup>2</sup>; Polarity: positive; Software: IonSpec.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In accordance to the method described in part Methods and experiments, discs with electrodeposited isotopes of uranium from solution of uranyl nitrate  $UO_2(NO_3)_2.6H_2O$  were prepared. Tab. 1 shows the basic properties of natural uranium isotopes [17, 18]:

The Figure 4 shows the disc after electrodeposition.



Fig. 4 Stainless disc after electrodeposition

Tab. 1 Basic properties of natural occurrence of uranium isotopes

| Uranium<br>isotopes | Natural<br>occurrence [%] | Relative atomic<br>weight | Half time of<br>decay   | Emitted<br>radiation;<br>Energy [MeV] | Decay product     |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| $^{234}U$           | 0.0055                    | 234.0409456               | 245500 y                | α; 4.859                              | <sup>230</sup> Th |
| <sup>235</sup> U    | 0.7200                    | 235.0439231               | 7.038·10 <sup>8</sup> y | α; 4.679                              | <sup>231</sup> Th |
| <sup>238</sup> U    | 99.2745                   | 238.0507826               | 4.468·10 <sup>9</sup> y | α; 4.270                              | <sup>234</sup> Th |

#### Alpha spectrometry

Alpha spectrometry with Dual alpha spectrometer was realized before SIMS analysis. Activities were obtained from counts and calculated from Equation 1:

$$A = \frac{\left(n_d - n_b\right)}{\varepsilon . R},\tag{1}$$

where *A* is an activity of sample [Bq];  $n_d$  is a count rate of isotope [s<sup>-1</sup>];  $n_b$  is a count rate of background [s<sup>-1</sup>];  $\varepsilon$  is efficiency of detector; *R* is recovery of electrodeposition (R = 0.86 according to [16]). Uncertainities of alpha activity measurements were calculated from Equation 2:

$$u_A = \pm \frac{A}{\sqrt{N_D}} .1.96 \,, \qquad (2)$$

where A is alpha activity [Bq];  $N_{\rm D}$  is number of counts obtained from alpha spectrometry measurements. Results obtained from Eq. 1 and Eq. 2 are described in Tab. 2:

Relatively high difference between relationships <sup>234</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U and <sup>235</sup>U/<sup>238</sup>U are caused by low activities of uranium isotopes at low-active samples. These low-active samples were close to background.

Conversions of activities to weights by Eq. 3 are summarized in Tab. 3:

$$m = \frac{A.A_r}{N_A . \ln 2} . T_{1/2} , \qquad (3)$$

where *m* is weight of uranium isotope [g]; *A* is activity [Bq];  $A_r$  is relative atomic weight;  $N_A$  is Avogadro's constant;  $T_{1/2}$  is half time of decay [s]. Surface area after electrodeposition was 2.97 cm<sup>2</sup>. Values of surface's weights of uranium in g.cm<sup>-2</sup> are summarized in Tab. 3.

## SIMS results

Discs prepared by electrodeposition after alpha spectrometry were measured at the International Laser Center in Bratislava by TOF-SIMS IV

|              |                             | $^{234}U$ | $^{235}U$ | <sup>238</sup> U | $^{234}U/^{238}U$ | <sup>235</sup> U/ <sup>238</sup> U |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| Disc<br># 1  | A [Bq]                      | 0.0068    | 0.0002    | 0.0042           | 1.619             | 0.0476                             |
|              | <b>u</b> <sub>A</sub> [±Bq] | 0.0017    | 0.0003    | 0.0013           |                   |                                    |
| Disc<br># 2  | A [Bq]                      | 0.0124    | 0.0003    | 0.0102           | 1.216             | 0.0294                             |
|              | <b>u</b> <sub>A</sub> [±Bq] | 0.0023    | 0.0004    | 0.0021           |                   |                                    |
| Disc<br># 3  | A[Bq]                       | 0.0202    | 0.0014    | 0.0173           | 1.168             | 0.0809                             |
|              | <b>u</b> <sub>A</sub> [±Bq] | 0.0029    | 0.0008    | 0.0027           |                   |                                    |
| Disc<br># 4  | A[Bq]                       | 0.5687    | 0.0153    | 0.5152           | 1.1038            | 0.0297                             |
|              | <b>u</b> <sub>A</sub> [±Bq] | 0.0646    | 0.0090    | 0.0615           |                   |                                    |
| Disc<br># 5. | A[Bq]                       | 1.086     | 0.0267    | 1.128            | 0.9628            | 0.0237                             |
|              | <b>u</b> <sub>A</sub> [±Bq] | 0.089     | 0.0127    | 0.091            |                   |                                    |

Tab. 2 Results from alpha spectrometry

Tab. 3 Values of weights and surface's weights of uranium isotopes

| Disc No. | <sup>234</sup> U       |                        | <sup>235</sup> U |   | <sup>238</sup> U             |   |
|----------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---|------------------------------|---|
|          | <b>m</b> [g]           | $m_{s} [g.cm^{-2}]$    | M [g]            | <b>m</b> <sub>s</sub> [g.cm <sup>-2</sup> ] | <b>m</b> [g]                 | <b>m</b> <sub>s</sub> [g.cm <sup>-2</sup> ] |
| Disc #1  | 2.95·10 <sup>-11</sup> | 2.93·10 <sup>-12</sup> | 2.69.10-9        | 9.07.10-10                                  | 3.38.10-7                    | 1.14.10-7                                   |
| Disc #2  | 5.38.10-11             | 1.81.10-11             | 4.04.10-9        | 1.36.10-9                                   | 8.23.10-7                    | 2.77.10-7                                   |
| Disc #3  | 8.79.10-11             | 2.96.10-11             | 1.75.10-8        | 5.90.10-9                                   | 1.39.10-6                    | 4.70.10-7                                   |
| Disc #4  | 2.47.10-9              | 8.32.10-10             | 1.91.10-7        | 6.44.10-8                                   | 4.14.10-5                    | 1.39.10-5                                   |
| Disc #5  | 4.72.10-9              | 1.59.10-9              | 3.34.10-7        | 1.13.10-7                                   | <b>9.07.10</b> <sup>-5</sup> | 3.06.10-5                                   |

with Au ion gun. Bi<sup>+</sup> ions with energy 25 keV and sample current 1 pA were used. The result of measurement is mass spectra with intensity vs. m/z. Figures 5 and 6 show some examples of these SIMS spectra. ce's weights. Intensities (counts) were obtained by using of IonSpec software. Figure 7 shows the linear correlation of SIMS intensity vs. activities of uranium isotopes.



Fig. 5 SIMS spectrum of 234U and 235U



Fig. 6 SIMS spectrum of <sup>238</sup>U

We studied SIMS intensities of <sup>234</sup>U, <sup>235</sup>U and <sup>238</sup>U ions in dependence with its activities obtained from alpha spectrometry and its calculated surfa-

In the Tab. 4 the results of dependence of SIMS intensity of ions of uranium isotopes on surface weights of uranium isotopes are presented.



Fig. 7 The correlation between SIMS intensity of ions with m/z = 234 vs. <sup>234</sup>U alpha activity

| Isotope          | $m_{\rm s}$ [g·cm <sup>-2</sup> ] | Intensity<br>[Counts] | Linear correlation            |                                 |  |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
|                  |                                   |                       |                               |                                 |  |
| <sup>234</sup> U | 2.93.10-12                        | 202                   | $y = b \cdot x$ $y = 965.42x$ | <i>R</i> <sup>2</sup><br>0.8065 |  |
|                  | 1.81.10-11                        | 331                   |                               |                                 |  |
|                  | 2.96.10-11                        | 339                   |                               |                                 |  |
|                  | 8.32.10-10                        | 701                   |                               |                                 |  |
| <sup>235</sup> U | 1.59.10-9                         | 1578                  |                               | 0.9607                          |  |
|                  | <b>9.07.10</b> <sup>-10</sup>     | 134                   | y = 19.63x                    |                                 |  |
|                  | 1.36.10-9                         | 162                   |                               |                                 |  |
|                  | 5.90.10 <sup>-9</sup>             | 263                   |                               |                                 |  |
|                  | 6.44.10 <sup>-8</sup>             | 1003                  |                               |                                 |  |
| <sup>238</sup> U | 1.13.10-7                         | 2347                  |                               | 0.9389                          |  |
|                  | 1.14.10-7                         | 132                   |                               |                                 |  |
|                  | 2.77.10-7                         | 560                   |                               |                                 |  |
|                  | 4.70.10-7                         | 1200                  | y = 244.52x                   |                                 |  |
|                  | 1.39.10-5                         | 4165                  |                               |                                 |  |
|                  | 3.06.10-5                         | 7105                  |                               |                                 |  |

Tab. 4 Table with correlated values SIMS intensity vs. Uranium isotopes surface's weights

As we can seen, it's possible to plot the calibration curves of SIMS intensities [counts] vs. surface's weights of uranium isotopes by Equation 3, which permits to use the SIMS measurements as a quantitative method for measurement of surface contamination by uranium isotopes.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

The main objective of the paper is to find the correlation between two sensitive techniques–alpha spectrometry and SIMS for natural isotopes of uranium and to determine the possibility of using of SIMS for quantitative measurement of uranium isotopes and contaminated metal surfaces by natural uranium. Linear correlation between the SIMS maximum ion intensity vs. alpha activity as well as of SIMS maximum ion intensity vs. surface's weight were obtained according to Fig. 7 and Tab. 4, respectively. We can see from these correlations the deviation from linearity of low-level activity discs, which is caused by low count rates in SIMS. These are evidently near background of SIMS device. Measurements of minimal detection mass (MDM) of uranium isotopes and uncertainties of the counts in SIMS need to carry out additional experiments, but they were not realized for time demandingness yet. Preliminary results indicate the possibility to use SIMS for quantitative analysis of surface contamination by uranium isotopes. From SIMS measurement it may be expected the acquirement of information about depth profiles of distribution of uranium as well as about distribution uniformity on a metal surface.

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Slovak Research and Development Agency under the No. APVV-20-007105 as well as by Scientific Grant Agency of the Ministry of Education of the Slovak Republic – VEGA project 1/2447/05.

# REFERENCES

- ARANYOSIOVÁ, M.: Totálna chemická analýza materiálov-hmotnostná spektrometria sekundárnych iónov. *ChemZi*. 2006, Vol. 2, No. 2, 52–53.
- VELIC, D.: Principle and applications of SIMS technique (Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry). In: *Chemical and radioactive contaminants of the environment. Lectures, seminars and laboratory works (in Slovak).* Bratislava: Omega Info. 2006. ISBN: 80-969290-6-2. p. 1–5.
- SCHILLING, J. H.: Using the ion microprobe mass analyser for trace element analysis. In: Symposia on trace element analytical techniques. Cape Town: South Africa. Apr 1978. Cape Town. 1978. INIS: 10442825. 18 p.
- TOROK, S., BETTI, M.: X ray fluorescence in IAEA Member states: Hungary. XRF and XANES analysis of hot/cold particles complemented by mass sensitive analysis for source identification. In: *XRF newsletter*; Vienna: IAEA, 2004, No. 7, pp. 8–9. INIS: 37066528. http://www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/publications/PDF/Newsletters/XRF-NL-7.pdf [2007-7-18]
- KUTSCHERA, W.: Reading the isotope language. In: Aquatic Forum 2004: International conference on isotopes in environmental studies, Monte Carlo

*Monaco*), 25–29 Oct 2004. Vienna: IAEA. 713 pp. 107. 2006. ISBN 92-0-111205-X. INIS: 37043516. http://www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/publications/PDF/CSP 26 web.pdf

- TAMBORINI, G. ET AL.: The determination of source terms from radioactive particles in a marine environment using SIMS and IPCMS. In: *International* conference on advances in destructive and non-destructive analysis for environmental monitoring and nuclear forensics, Karlsruhe (Germany), 21–23 Oct 2002. Book of extended synopses, Vienna: IAEA. 2002. 128 p. p. 115–117. INIS: 33057998
- McDANIEL, F. D. ET AL. 2002: Impurity measurements in semiconductor materials using trace element accelerator mass spectrometry. In: *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B.* 2002, Vol. 190, No. 1–4, 826–830.
- MILEY, G.H.; NARNE, G.; WOO, T.: Use of combined NAA and SIMS analyses for impurity level isotope detection. *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 2005, Vol. 263, No. 3, 691–696.
- HUBERT, R. L. ET AL.: Study of the Pd-Rh interdiffusion by three complementary analytical techniques: PIXE, RBS and ToF–SIMS. *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B.* 2005, Vol. 24, No. 1–2, 420–424. INIS: 37027056
- POMIES, C. ET AL.: <sup>207</sup>Pb/<sup>206</sup>Pb and <sup>238</sup>U/<sup>230</sup>Th dating of uranium migration in carbonate fractures from the Palmottu uranium ore (southern Finland). *Appl. Geochem.*, 2004, Vol. 19, No. 3, 273–288.
- LAYNE, G.D., SIMS, K. W.: Secondary ion mass spectrometry for the measurement of <sup>232</sup>Th/<sup>230</sup>Th in volcanic rocks. *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.*, 2000, Vol. 203, No. 1, 187–198.
- ZHU, X. K. ET AL.: SIMS analysis of U Pb isotopes in monazite: matrix effects. *Chem. Geol.*, 1998, Vol. 144, No. 3, 305–312.
- GUAN, Y., HUSS, G. R.; LESHIN, L. A.: SIMS analyses of Mg, Cr, and Ni isotopes in primitive meteorites and short-lived radionuclides in the early solar system. *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2004, Vol. 231–232, No. 2, 899–902.
- GALANDA, D.: Príprava preparátov na meranie emitujúceho alfa žiarenia elektrodepozíciou. In: Chemical and radioactive contaminants of the environment. Lectures, seminars and laboratory works (in Slovak). Bratislava: Omega Info, 2006, p. 27–30. ISBN: 80-969290-6-2.
- ŠÁRO, Š.: Detekcia a spektrometria žiarenia alfa a beta. Bratislava: Alfa, 1983, 279 s.
- AMERICIUM, PLUTONIUM AND URANIUM IN WATER. Eichrom Industries, Inc. *Analytical Procedures*, ACW03. March 31, 1999 http://www.orteconline.com/papers/radiochem /method1.pdf. [2007--07-12].
- 17. *Table of isotopes*: http://atom.kaeri.re.kr/ton/nuc11. html [2007-07-16]
- WIESER, M. E. 2006: Atomic weights of the elements 2005. *Pure Appl. Chem.*, Vol. 78, No. 11, 2051–2066.